

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/580,950		SCHLITTER ET AL	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Cam N. Nguyen		1793	

All Participants:

(1) Examiner Cam N. Nguyen.

(2) Mr. Thomas M. Cunningham.

Date of Interview: 18 May 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

See Examiner's Amendment prepared

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed the changes to correct the minor informalities in the claims. Attorney reviewed and authorized. See Examiner's Amendment prepared.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*/Cam N Nguyen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793*

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)